

# HowTo Use the Bioconductor `edd` package

Vince Carey `stvjc@channing.harvard.edu`

November 25, 2003

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Important caveat</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Distributional shapes in Golub's data</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Filtering out genes with low variation . . . . .	2
3.2	Forming stratum-specific <code>exprSets</code> . . . . .	2
3.3	Running <code>edd</code> . . . . .	3
3.4	Assessing the results . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Extending the reference catalog</b>	<b>7</b>

## 1 Introduction

*edd* is a package that assists with one aspect of exploratory data analysis for microarrays. The basic question addressed in *edd* is the variety of shapes of gene-specific distributions of expression in collections of microarrays. Use of the package is most sensible when there are numerous arrays obtained under the same experimental condition or for a given clinical condition. The key idea is that marginal gene-specific distributions may have a relatively number of different qualitative shapes, some of which may be of considerable substantive interest (e.g., multimodal shapes), and some of which may be of methodologic importance (e.g., when one group of subjects has a skewed distribution for a gene, and another has a symmetric distribution for the same gene, use of a log transform is counterindicated).

In this brief HOWTO, we illustrate directly the use of the *edd* package. We will investigate the diversity of distributions in the two main groups of Golub's leukemia dataset.

## 2 Important caveat

The `edd` function will transform all gene-specific expression distributions to have common location and scale. This process can make noise have the appearance of signal. Before using `edd`, remove all genes that have small variability. See the next section for an example of this filtering process.

## 3 Distributional shapes in Golub's data

First we attach the necessary libraries and data frames. `edd` will require the *golubEsets* library.

```
> library(edd)
```

```
Loading required package: Biobase
```

```
Welcome to Bioconductor
```

```
  Vignettes contain introductory material.  To view,  
  simply type: openVignette()
```

```
  For details on reading vignettes, see  
  the openVignette help page.
```

```
Loading required package: nnet
```

```
Loading required package: class
```

```
Loading required package: golubEsets
```

```
Loading required package: xtable
```

```
> data(golubMerge)
```

### 3.1 Filtering out genes with low variation

Next we filter the Golub data to require reasonable dispersion (confine attention to upper half sample defined by size of MAD) and reasonable expression (confine attention to genes with minimum expression level 300).

```
> madvec <- apply(exprs(golubMerge), 1, mad)
```

```
> minvec <- apply(exprs(golubMerge), 1, min)
```

```
> keep <- (madvec > median(madvec)) & (minvec > 300)
```

```
> gmfilt <- golubMerge[keep == TRUE, ]
```

### 3.2 Forming stratum-specific exprSets

Finally we split the dataset into the ALL and AML samples:

```

> ALL <- gmfilt$ALL.AML == "ALL"
> gall <- gmfilt[, ALL == TRUE]
> gaml <- gmfilt[, ALL == FALSE]
> show(gall)

```

Expression Set (exprSet) with

540 genes

47 samples

phenoData object with 11 variables and 47 cases

varLabels

Samples: Sample index

ALL.AML: Factor, indicating ALL or AML

BM.PB: Factor, sample from marrow or peripheral blood

T.B.cell: Factor, T cell or B cell leuk.

FAB: Factor, FAB classification

Date: Date sample obtained

Gender: Factor, gender of patient

pctBlasts: pct of cells that are blasts

Treatment: response to treatment

PS: Prediction strength

Source: Source of sample

### 3.3 Running edd

We will apply edd using an nnet classifier with the default reference catalog. See the edd-Details vignette for information about the reference catalog.

```

> set.seed(12345)
> alldists <- edd(gall, meth = "nnet", size = 10, decay = 0.2)

```

# weights: 579

initial value 2005.605756

iter 10 value 1158.770201

iter 20 value 797.570948

iter 30 value 634.060511

iter 40 value 469.480315

iter 50 value 389.861685

iter 60 value 364.560886

iter 70 value 347.635653

iter 80 value 339.191761

iter 90 value 330.752808

iter 100 value 327.646425

final value 327.646425

stopped after 100 iterations

```
> amldists <- edd(gaml, meth = "nnet", size = 10, decay = 0.2)
```

```
# weights: 359
initial value 2294.213103
iter 10 value 1165.933356
iter 20 value 894.339722
iter 30 value 780.245051
iter 40 value 705.307718
iter 50 value 663.866225
iter 60 value 640.941637
iter 70 value 630.171545
iter 80 value 625.410486
iter 90 value 623.011611
iter 100 value 621.293653
final value 621.293653
stopped after 100 iterations
```

An example of the results is given by the classification calls for the first 5 genes in the filtered exprSet:

hum_alu_at	AFFX-HUMGAPDH/M33197_3_at	AFFX-HSAC07/X00351_5_at
".75N(0,1)+.25N(4,1)"	"t(3)"	"t(3)"
AFFX-HSAC07/X00351_3_at	AFFX-M27830_M_at	
"t(3)"	"X^2(1)"	

We can use edd with other classification methods.

```
> alldistsKNN <- edd(gall, meth = "knn", k = 1, l = 0)
> alldistsTEST <- edd(gall, meth = "test", thresh = 0.3)
```

The agreement between nnet and knn procedures is not exact. See table 1. Choice between these methods and selection of tuning parameters is context-dependent.

```
> cap <- "Comparison of distribution shape classification by nnet (rows) and by knn (
> print(xtable(latEDtable(table(alldists, alldistsKNN), reorder = greo),
+   digits = rep(0, length(table(alldists)) + 1), caption = cap,
+   label = "conc1"))
```

The test procedure is the only one at present that allows an outcome of 'doubt'.

```
> print(table(alldistsTEST))
```

alldistsTEST			
.25N(0,1)+.75N(4,1)	.75N(0,1)+.25N(4,1)	B(2,8)	B(8,2)
9	93	169	26
N(0,1)	U(0,1)	X^2(1)	logN(0,1)
68	26	3	40
outlier	t(3)		
2	104		

	$\Phi$	$t_3$	$LN_{0,1}$	$\chi_1^2$	$\beta_{8,2}$	$U_{0,1}$	$\beta_{2,8}$	$\frac{3}{4}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	$\frac{1}{4}\Phi + \frac{3}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$
$\Phi$	55	5	0	0	5	0	4	2	1
$t_3$	19	67	5	1	0	0	45	17	0
$LN_{0,1}$	0	3	47	22	0	0	24	3	0
$\chi_1^2$	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_{8,2}$	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
$U_{0,1}$	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
$\beta_{2,8}$	10	1	0	0	0	3	119	15	0
$\frac{3}{4}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	0	0	5	1	0	0	9	33	0
$\frac{1}{4}\Phi + \frac{3}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1

Table 1: Comparison of distribution shape classification by nnet (rows) and by knn (columns) methods in edd.

	$\Phi$	$t_3$	$LN_{0,1}$	$\chi_1^2$	$\beta_{8,2}$	$U_{0,1}$	$\beta_{2,8}$	$\frac{3}{4}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	$\frac{1}{4}\Phi + \frac{3}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$
1	72	154	99	3	8	5	148	48	3

Table 2: Frequencies of distributional shapes in filtered ALL data.

### 3.4 Assessing the results

We can assess the relative frequencies of the different shapes in the ALL samples with a table, see Table 2.

```
> cap <- "Frequencies of distributional shapes in filtered ALL data."
> print(xtable(latEDtable(table(alldists), reorder = greo), digits = rep(0,
+   length(table(alldists)) + 1), caption = cap, label = "marg1"))
```

We can use barplots also; see Figure 1.

Discordance between distributional shapes in gene expression for the AML and ALL groups can be assessed using the cross-classification, see Table 3.

```
> cap <- "Rows are gene-specific distribution shapes for ALL, columns for AML, and ce
> print(xtable(latEDtable(table(alldists, amldists), reord = greo),
+   cap = cap, label = "disco1"))
```

Let's see what these discordances mean. To begin, let's get some indices for genes with bimodally shaped expression distribution for ALL, but approximately gaussian expression distribution for AML:

```
> print((1:540)[alldists == ".75N(0,1)+.25N(4,1)" & amldists ==
+   "N(0,1)"][1:5])
```

```
[1] 7 65 78 135 141
```





Figure 1: Compositions of distributional shapes within strata.

	$\Phi$	$t_3$	$LN_{0,1}$	$\chi_1^2$	$\beta_{8,2}$	$U_{0,1}$	$\beta_{2,8}$	$\frac{3}{4}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	$\frac{1}{4}\Phi + \frac{3}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$
$\Phi$	29.00	12.00	1.00	0.00	6.00	2.00	10.00	8.00	4.00
$t_3$	40.00	41.00	6.00	0.00	2.00	7.00	21.00	30.00	7.00
$LN_{0,1}$	21.00	20.00	10.00	2.00	0.00	6.00	25.00	15.00	0.00
$\chi_1^2$	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
$\beta_{8,2}$	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
$U_{0,1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\beta_{2,8}$	35.00	18.00	11.00	1.00	2.00	9.00	45.00	24.00	3.00
$\frac{3}{4}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	17.00	10.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	12.00	6.00	0.00
$\frac{1}{4}\Phi + \frac{3}{4}\Phi_{4,1}$	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00

Table 3: Rows are gene-specific distribution shapes for ALL, columns for AML, and cell entries are counts of genes.

We consider the gene with probe D87953\_at. The top left panel gives the model (solid density trace) and a kernel density estimate applied to the expression levels among ALL patients, and the top right is the corresponding histogram.

While the specific mixture model used as reference is not a perfect fit to the ALL data, the neural net classifier was sensitive to the bimodality. The Gaussian model does not seem particularly appropriate for the AML data, but was the closest match in the reference catalog.

## 4 Extending the reference catalog

The reference catalog supplied with edd has components

```
> names(eddDistList)
```

```
[1] "N01" "T3" "LN01" "CS1" "B82" "U01" "B28" "MIXN1" "MIXN2"
```

There is nothing sacred about this set. Let's consider its scope (we'll look at 8 of nine reference distributions):

From the example above we see that it might be useful to have a mixture of Gaussians with modes separated by 6SD. To add such a model we construct an instance of the `eddDist` class:

```
> MIXN3 <- new("eddDist", stub = "mixnorm", parms = c(p1 = 0.75,
+   m1 = 0, s1 = 1, m2 = 6, s2 = 1), median = 0.43, mad = 1.55,
+   tag = ".75N(0,1)+.25N(6,1)", plotlim = c(-3, 11), latexTag = "$\\frac{3}{4}\\Phi + \\frac{1}{4}\\Phi_{4,1}$")
> eddDistList[["MIXN3"]] <- MIXN3
> set.seed(12345)
> alldists2 <- edd(gall, meth = "nnet", size = 10, decay = 0.2)
```







Figure 2: Two models for D87953\_at in ALL and AML patients.



```
> par(mfrow = c(4, 2))
> for (i in 1:8) plotED(eddDistList[[i]])
```




Figure 3: Eight of the reference distributions in the `eddDistList` supplied with *edd*.

```

# weights:  590
initial  value 2774.830325
iter   10 value 1331.561739
iter   20 value 954.245892
iter   30 value 748.739739
iter   40 value 605.853344
iter   50 value 533.463335
iter   60 value 472.802998
iter   70 value 415.407478
iter   80 value 393.635582
iter   90 value 381.908446
iter  100 value 373.665915
final   value 373.665915
stopped after 100 iterations

```

```
> print(alldists2[65])
```

```
[1] ".75N(0,1)+.25N(6,1)"
```

The symbol MIXN3 used to name the list element is arbitrary, as are the values of the tag and latexTag slots. But the user should choose meaningful values for those items. The new reference distribution is used for classification of probe D87953\_at. The two fits for the different mixtures are shown in Figures 4, 5.

```
> plotED(MIXN3, data = exprs(gall)[65, ])
```




Figure 4: Reference catalog element: mixture with modes separated by 6SD. Superimposed is the kernel smooth of centered/scaled and then translated data for D87953\_at.

```
> plotED(MIXN1, data = exprs(gall)[65, ])
```




Figure 5: Reference catalog element: mixture with modes separated by 3SD. Superimposed is the kernel smooth of centered/scaled and then translated data for D87953\_at.